



## Patient Blood Management Bundles to Facilitate Implementation



Patrick Meybohm <sup>a,\*</sup>, Toby Richards <sup>b</sup>, James Isbister <sup>c</sup>, Axel Hofmann <sup>d</sup>, Aryeh Shander <sup>e</sup>,  
Lawrence Tim Goodnough <sup>f</sup>, Manuel Muñoz <sup>g</sup>, Hans Gombotz <sup>h</sup>, Christian Friedrich Weber <sup>a</sup>,  
Suma Choorapoikayil <sup>a</sup>, Donat R. Spahn <sup>i</sup>, Kai Zacharowski <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Therapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Cardiovascular and Interventional Research (CAVIAR), University College London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> Department of Haematology and Transfusion Medicine, Sydney Medical School, Royal North Shore Hospital of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Institute of Anaesthesiology, University and University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>e</sup> Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care and Hyperbaric Medicine, Englewood Hospital and Medical Center, Englewood, NJ, United States

<sup>f</sup> Pathology and Medicine (Hematology), Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States

<sup>g</sup> Transfusion Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Málaga, Málaga, Spain

<sup>h</sup> Austrian Institute of Technology, Vienna, Austria

<sup>i</sup> Department of Anaesthesiology, UniversitätsSpital Zuerich, Zürich, Switzerland

### ARTICLE INFO

Available online 28 May 2016

#### Keywords:

Anemia  
Blood loss  
Blood transfusion  
Hemostasis  
Patient blood management

### ABSTRACT

More than 30% of the world's population are anemic with serious economic consequences including reduced work capacity and other obstacles to national welfare and development. Red blood cell transfusion is the mainstay to correct anemia, but it is also 1 of the top 5 overused procedures. Patient blood management (PBM) is a proactive, patient-centered, and multidisciplinary approach to manage anemia, optimize hemostasis, minimize iatrogenic blood loss, and harness tolerance to anemia. Although the World Health Organization has endorsed PBM in 2010, many hospitals still seek guidance with the implementation of PBM in clinical routine. Given the use of proven change management principles, we propose simple, cost-effective measures enabling any hospital to reduce both anemia and red blood cell transfusions in surgical and medical patients. This article provides comprehensive bundles of PBM components encompassing 107 different PBM measures, divided into 6 bundle blocks acting as a working template to develop institutions' individual PBM practices for hospitals beginning a program or trying to improve an already existing program. A stepwise selection of the most feasible measures will facilitate the implementation of PBM. In this manner, PBM represents a new quality and safety standard.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

### Contents

Methods . . . . .	63
Results and Discussion . . . . .	64
Creating a PBM Program That Fits to the Local Hospital . . . . .	64
Patient Blood Management Bundles . . . . .	64
Block 1: PBM Project Management . . . . .	64
Involvement of Key PBM Stakeholders . . . . .	64
Undergraduate and Postgraduate Education . . . . .	65
Local Standard Operating Procedures/Protocols . . . . .	65
Block 2: First Strategy—Manage Patient's Anemia . . . . .	65
Preoperative Management of Anemia (Subgroup of Surgical Patients) . . . . .	65
Optimizing Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Function to Improve Tolerance of Anemia . . . . .	66
Management of Anemia in Hospitalized Patients and/or After Surgery . . . . .	66
Block 3: Second Strategy—Optimizing Coagulopathy . . . . .	66
Preoperative Management of Coagulopathy . . . . .	66

\* Corresponding author: Prof Dr Patrick Meybohm, Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Therapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Theodor-Stern-Kai 7, 60590 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

E-mail address: [patrick.meybohm@kgu.de](mailto:patrick.meybohm@kgu.de) (P. Meybohm).

Hemostasis Management in Hospitalized Patients . . . . .	66
Block 4: Third Strategy—Interdisciplinary Blood Conservation Modalities . . . . .	66
Reduction of Diagnostic-Associated Blood Loss . . . . .	66
Reduction of Surgery-Related Blood Loss (Subgroup of Surgical Patients) . . . . .	67
Block 5: Fourth Strategy—Optimal Blood Use With Patient-Centered Decision Making . . . . .	67
Patient-Centered Decision Making . . . . .	67
Block 6: PBM-Related Metrics, Patient’s Outcome, Benchmark . . . . .	67
Patient Blood Management–Related Metrics . . . . .	67
Patient’s Outcome . . . . .	68
Benchmarking . . . . .	68
Program Budget for PBM . . . . .	68
Hospital Audit for PBM . . . . .	68
Hospital Accreditation for PBM . . . . .	68
Conclusion . . . . .	69
Declaration of interests . . . . .	69
Funding . . . . .	69
Acknowledgments . . . . .	69
References . . . . .	69

More than 30% of the world's population are anemic. Anemia is increasingly recognized as a risk factor for a number of adverse outcomes, including hospitalization, morbidity, and mortality. The high global prevalence of insufficiently treated anemia also possesses a major economic burden including reduced work productivity and increased social expenditures [1]. In hospitalized patients, incidence of anemia increases within the processes of care, such as procedural blood loss and phlebotomy [2].

Patient blood management (PBM), as defined by the Society for the Advancement of Blood Management [3], refers to “the timely application of evidence based medical and surgical concepts designed to maintain haemoglobin concentration, optimise haemostasis and minimise blood loss in an effort to improve patient outcome.” It requires rejecting the standard dogma and one-size-fits-all approach, whereby red blood cell (RBC) transfusions are used as the primary solution to correct low hemoglobin levels in the hospitalized patient.

In recent years, a few reviews, standards, and guidelines have been published providing detailed information on PBM [3–11]. Briefly, PBM must be executed by an institutionally empowered multidisciplinary team that works in a concerted fashion with 4 guiding principles of PBM: The first principle or strategy is to manage the patient's anemia, which primarily involves instituting methods of early detection and using nutritional and pharmaceutical treatments to support erythropoiesis, if it is not mainly genetic or cancer related. While actively treating anemia, the physiologic tolerance of anemia can be enhanced by minimizing oxygen consumption and/or enhancing delivery. The second PBM strategy involves optimizing coagulopathy. This involves determining the patient’s current coagulation status and assessing those medications that affect this, correcting any abnormalities and, if present, rapidly assessing the cause of bleeding. The third guiding PBM principle entails using interdisciplinary blood conservation modalities. Physicians can adhere to this principle by ensuring that their surgical techniques are precise enough to minimize blood loss. Any blood loss should be diagnosed and stopped immediately. In addition, intraoperative and postoperative blood conservation techniques should be used, including autologous conservation modalities. Attention should be given to phlebotomy volume and frequency with the intent to minimize or eliminate this common source of iatrogenic blood loss, which can either induce or exacerbate anemia. The final principle that also especially embodies the overall PBM approach, and optimal blood use is the concept of patient-centered decision making. This involves thorough communication with the patient regarding his/her treatment. It is necessary to effectively communicate the risks and benefits of the various potential interventions and to decide on the right course of action together with the patient.

The patient's own preferences and values should be considered when developing a medical plan [3,4,12].

There is a large amount of research evidence that the successful implementation of PBM reduces perioperative blood loss and transfusion needs [13–20], perioperative morbidity [13,16], mortality [14,16], length of hospital stay [14,16], and costs [21]. In this respect, the World Health Organization has officially been urging member states to implement PBM since 2010 (WHA63.12). Patient blood management programs have already been rolled out successfully in some hospitals in Western Australia [19], Europe [13,18], United States [14,17], and now starting in Asia. Notable, outside Australia, no national PBM programs have been established, and many hospitals worldwide seek guidance with its implementation.

Despite the demonstrated benefits of PBM, many barriers and challenges limit translation of PBM guidelines into clinical practice [22–25], in particular due to lack of knowledge (eg, staff members are not aware of the latest discoveries and new guidelines; imprudent practice is endorsed by common misconceptions), lack of interdisciplinary commitment (eg, many patients have contact with different clinicians from different departments with different opinions about the “best treatment”; resistance from hospital's “culture”), lack of resources (eg, limited staff with limited time; hospital administrators need to invest initially before saving money), and concerns (eg, PBM may initially “cut down” jobs in blood donor service or transfusion medicine).

Strategies for overcoming the hurdles associated with incorporating guidelines into clinical practice often include the use of multimodal “care bundles.”

This article provides comprehensive bundles of PBM components encompassing more than 100 different PBM measures acting as a working template to develop institutions’ individual PBM practices.

**Methods**

After an informal meeting on PBM, held at Frankfurt in January 2015, and attended by several of the authors (PM, CFW, SC, DS, and KZ), further authors (TR, JI, AS, LTG, and MM) and a group developing an European Guide on Good Practices for Patient Blood Management (AH and HG) were invited to participate drafting a manuscript on a new implementation concept, based on current practice and experience in implementation of PBM of the authors, actively working in Australia, Europe, and United States. During several revisions, comments and contributions from the different authors to subsequent versions of the manuscript were harmonized, until agreement on paper content was reached. We would like to stress that this article contains the

authors' independent opinions based on experience as well as evidence-based practices supported by clinical studies. No pharmaceutical company has funded the development or writing of the manuscript. It is the primary aim of the authors to provide a new tool/concept for change management process and to tackle the key question “How to implement PBM.”

In this article, therefore, we propose simple, cost-effective measures enabling any hospital to reduce both anemia and RBC transfusions focusing on both the methodology of how and of what to implement. The article refers to hospitals beginning a program or trying to improve an already existing program. It is strongly recommended to enlarge the scope of PBM to all subgroups of patients—surgical, medical, and pediatric patients. Medical patients, for example, may present many conditions that can be prevented or improved by PBM programs, for example, renal anemia, blood loss due to chronic dialysis, massive hemorrhage after gastrointestinal bleeding, hospital-acquired anemia in intensive care patients, transfusion decision in hematology-oncology patients, and others.

## Results and Discussion

The “bundle” concept, as firstly defined by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (Cambridge, MA) in 2001, refers to “a straightforward set of evidence-based interventions for a defined patient population that, when implemented together, will result in significantly better, more penetrating and sustainable outcomes than when implemented individually” [26]. In other fields of complex interdisciplinary medicine, for example, intensive care medicine, similar barriers could be observed. To overcome these barriers, care bundles have been widely implemented with success in terms of compliance to guidelines and beneficial impact on care processes and outcomes [27–31]. Thus, a bundle for PBM is highly desired.

Similar to the bundle approach, a few nationwide health care quality change initiatives were launched recently to overcome the barriers. For example, the National Blood Authority of Australia has published a “National Patient Blood Management Guidelines Implementation Strategy” recommending the use of a multifaceted approach with several tools to support the implementation of PBM and the appropriate transfusion practices [9]. In the UK, PBM has recently become a quality improvement process initiated by the transfusion service NHS Blood and Transplant [10]. The Consumers, Health and Food Agency of the European Commission has initiated the developing of a European Guide on Good Practices for Patient Blood Management, focusing on the methodology of how and not primarily of what to implement in terms of clinical modalities. This also includes the application of proven change management methodologies for overcoming the often deeply embedded cultural and institutional hurdles of behavior-based medicine [32]. However, it is also important to have a more clinical/technical template of what can be implemented and to identify low hanging fruit in doing this, which is at the core of this approach of PBM bundles [32,33].

### Creating a PBM Program That Fits to the Local Hospital

An ideal PBM program would include a wide spectrum of administrative and clinical standards of PBM measures [3–12,34–42]. The more components incorporated into clinical routine, the higher the overall potential of a successful PBM program [9]. However, it is important to respect that many important factors such as infrastructure, staff, equipment, and economic resources greatly differ between hospitals worldwide, and individualization is vitally important for the acceptance of any new standard [43]. For this reason, PBM programs need to be specifically designed according to local conditions.

Although recent reviews [5,12,34–36] and guidelines/recommendations/standards [3,6–8,10,11,37–42] provided detailed information on what should be implemented and only a few reported strategies on

how PBM should be implemented [9], we now strongly suggest that the implementation should be based on stepwise selection of the most doable measures that fit to the local hospital. To facilitate the implementation of PBM in clinical routine, this article provides a comprehensive checklist of multiple PBM bundles that can easily be adopted using a stepwise approach by every institution. The PBM bundles encompass 107 different PBM measures, divided into 6 bundle blocks. The PBM bundles are based not only on the aforementioned guidelines/recommendations [3,6–8,10,11,37–42], reviews [5,12,34–36], and the Australian “National Patient Blood Management Guidelines Implementation Strategy” [9] but also on the authors' experiences gleaned from recent PBM activities in Australia [34,44], Europe [18,22,41,45–48], and United States [14–16,49] and launching the German PBM Network [50]. Having success in PBM does not follow an “all-or-non-law,” but rather a different grading of successful PBM implementation based on the total number of measures achieved. The total number of points may then be assigned to a semiquantitative PBM program level. Starting and having small success then moving forward with larger steps is a recipe for higher success. What small steps the hospital first takes is certainly dependent on that hospital, their resources, their faculty, and their administration. This one of the most important strengths of our PBM bundle approach.

Where applicable, it may also provide a technical template/checklist for both hospital audit and accreditation of PBM in future.

### Patient Blood Management Bundles

A comprehensive PBM program may include more than 100 different measures/tasks, divided into 4 bundle blocks according to the aforementioned four PBM strategies completed by 2 additional blocks providing important information about general PBM project management and PBM-related metrics. The more individual measures successfully implemented in clinical routine, the higher the overall potential of PBM. However, because the conditions are highly variable in hospitals, a self-selected stepwise approach is recommended. Based on the total number of implemented measures within the 6 blocks (minimum,  $n = 0$ ; maximum,  $n = 107$ ) and the weighted degree of implementation (multiplier of 0, “none/rarely”, < 10%; 1, “moderate”, 10%–50%; or 2, good, > 50%), the total number of points can be calculated (minimum, 0; maximum,  $n = 214$ ). The total number of points may then be assigned to a semiquantitative PBM program level. The more measures successfully implemented, the higher the total PBM score, and the higher the semiquantitative PBM program level (eg, bronze, silver, gold, platinum, or diamond, respectively; Supplementary Figure S1 in the Supplementary data).

Using these bundles checklists, 2 scenarios might be feasible: (i) internal self-assessment, for example, to start a project or to advance ongoing projects similar to quality management initiatives, and/or (ii) external assessment for peer review of PBM/audit of PBM/accreditation for PBM.

### Block 1: PBM Project Management

#### Involvement of Key PBM Stakeholders

To recruit a general PBM coordinator is one of the most important tools to the success of any PBM program (Table 1). The PBM coordinator has a central role for transparency, communication, networking, education, documentation, and benchmarking. Therefore, we suggest protected time (eg, 50% dedicated effort) to run the PBM program, as time and resource constraints are common and reasonable hamper project management. The PBM coordinator and her/his team should engage in early communication to key stakeholders, for example, chief medical officer, chief executive officer, surgeons, anesthesiologists, intensive care specialists, nurses, transfusion medicine specialists/transfusion committee, gastroenterologists, hematologists, cardiologist, general practitioners, and finance administrative and quality management personnel for a successful implementation and sustainable support. An official directive from the hospital board of directors may enhance the PBM

**Table 1**  
Patient Blood Management project management

Block 1: General PBM project management	
Involvement of key PBM stakeholders [role]	
PBM coordinator with protected time [central role for communication, networking, education, documentation, and benchmarking]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital board of directors (eg, chief medical officer, chief executive officer, chief nursing officer) [support; official directive]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Surgeons (eg, orthopedic/trauma, cardiac, vascular, visceral, trauma, urology, neurosurgery) [interdisciplinary consensus]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Anesthesiologists/intensive care specialists [central role for perioperative care]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Transfusion medicine specialists/transfusion committee [prevention of blood wastage, optimal blood use, changes in donor blood management]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Internists/gastroenterologists/hematologists/cardiologists/nephrologists [anemia management, optimal blood use]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
General practitioners/family doctors [determine the necessity for elective surgery, assign patients to a hospital, preoperative anemia management]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Patient's representative [need to be informed about the different alternatives to treat anemia/create awareness]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Pediatrics [mainly refers to blood conservation strategies]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Central laboratory/laboratory scientists [smaller blood collecting tubes]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Pharmacists/purchasing department [introduction of new drugs for the management of anemia and coagulopathy]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Information technology department [sampling of routine data and key performance metrics]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Finance department [finance experience for program budget plan, initial project costs; hospital-wide cost savings]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Quality management [project management experience; PBM as a fixed part of a quality improvement initiative]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Public affairs [dissemination channels/marketing of the PBM project (eg, via journals/Intranet/e-mails/posters/roll-ups/press conferences)]	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Undergraduate and postgraduate education	
Undergraduate education (nursing school/medical school)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Postgraduate education of physicians/clinicians (lectures, workshops; initial and once a year)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Postgraduate education of nurses (intensive care unit, normal ward; initial and once a year)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Certificate (eg, by online E-learning courses)—to enhance PBM education and knowledge transfer	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Local standard operating procedures/protocols	
Standard operating procedures for PBM	
Anemia management	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Coagulation management	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Blood conservation	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Optimal blood use/transfusion of blood products (list of index procedures for “type and screen” or “type and crossmatch (and supply)”)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Massive hemorrhage protocols (including such as damage controlled surgery, arterial embolization, hemotherapy algorithm)	
Massive hemorrhage (in general)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Postpartum hemorrhage	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Trauma associated hemorrhage	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Cardiac surgery associated hemorrhage	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

program by adding authority. In addition, the following stakeholders should also be involved at an early stage: central laboratory for smaller blood collecting tubes [51,52], Information Technology Department for sampling of certain routine data and key PBM performance metrics, pharmacists for the introduction of new drugs for the management of anemia and coagulopathy, and patients' representatives. Patients and their relatives should have access to information regarding PBM, including the risks and benefits of blood transfusion, alternatives and adjuvant options, and an explanation of restrictive practice. In collaboration with the department for public affairs, an active marketing and dissemination strategy is recommended for the promotion of the desired paradigm shift in transfusion practice and to make sustainable progress in implementation of PBM. For example, a distinctive PBM logo might be placed on hospital Web page, posters, pens, shirts, flyers, nametags, and ties to establish a “brand.” Other ways to propagate the

PBM concept are press conferences and meetings with journalists from medical and general newspapers as well as television delegates. Further general dissemination channels are suggested, for example, journals, Intranet, emails, posters, roll-ups, social media, and others.

*Undergraduate and Postgraduate Education*

A comprehensive PBM education program should be developed for emergency and elective admissions. This should be targeted to medical students, physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and other health care staff and focused on PBM program's goals, structure, and scope. Patient blood management education is also recommended for both inpatients and outpatients, surgical patients, and interventional and endoscopic units. Educational activities should occur initially and regularly, at least annually, and should be endorsed by public and medical authorities. The learning materials should be easily accessible, for example, via a Web site [53], Intranet, or a central virtual room for documents, guidelines, posters, education materials. As hemotherapy and transfusion medicine have been taught inadequately at many medical schools in the past [54,55], it would be beneficial for the relevant medical staff to pass online e-learning courses to receive a “PBM certificate” [56–58]. In most EU countries, physicians have to attend further education constantly to obtain credit points and to preserve professional competence. In this respect, PBM lectures and workshops need to be created to provide an ideal platform for training.

*Local Standard Operating Procedures/Protocols*

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) are crucial for many aspects of a PBM program. Therefore, written interdepartmental SOPs, for example, clinical protocols, guidelines, visual aids, and checklists, focusing on the 4 strategies of PBM need to be available to the staff at any time. These SOPs will facilitate implementation, practice, and process and will ensure sustainability of the PBM program. Risk-adjusted protocols and lists of index procedures should be developed for “type and screen” in patients with lower risk of bleeding/transfusion and “type and crossmatch” or rather “type and crossmatch and temporary supply” in patients with higher risk of bleeding/RBC transfusion (eg, > 10% probability threshold of RBC transfusion), respectively. Alternatively, to decrease the amount of time spending to assign or crossmatch status, the maximum surgical blood order schedule described by Friedman et al [59] could be implemented. A massive hemorrhage protocol should be available that encourages early detection, definitive intervention, and treatment of acute hemorrhage according to an established algorithm [40,42,60–62]. Where clinically appropriate, this protocol includes damage-controlled surgery, early return to the operating room for correction of a surgical source of bleeding, early referral for interventional radiology, “balanced ratio” of RBCs to plasma to platelets and embolization, and early use of endoscopy/colonoscopy. Where indicated, massive hemorrhage protocols should be extended by specific algorithms for different subgroups of high-risk patients, for example, postpartum, trauma, cardiac surgery, or transplantation of solid organs with associated hemorrhage. Hemorrhage protocols should include guidelines for laboratory testing (including viscoelastic testing) and hemotherapy (including transfusion of RBC, plasma, platelets, cryoprecipitate, and factor concentrates).

*Block 2: First Strategy—Manage Patient's Anemia*

*Preoperative Management of Anemia (Subgroup of Surgical Patients)*

A full blood count is a widely available low-cost laboratory test and should be performed on all patients at presentation or better with referral from primary care for operation (Table 2). If this cannot be done, a list of elective surgical procedures for which preoperative anemia management screening is most likely reasonable should be designed. For example, these may include the surgical procedures with a greater than 10% probability of RBC transfusion. Patients scheduled for one of these procedures should be identified and assessed ideally 3 to 4 weeks before surgery to allow sufficient time to diagnose and manage anemia, unless,



**Table 2**  
Anemia management

Block 2: First strategy—manage the patient's anemia	
Preoperative management of anemia (subgroup of surgical patients)	
Diagnosis of anemia	
Identification of anemic patients (screening)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Diagnosis of iron deficiency anemia (eg, blood count, ferritin, transferrin saturation, calculation of the individual iron deficit)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Diagnosis of vitamin B12 or folic acid deficiency	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Extended diagnostic of anemia (eg, consultant for gastroenterology, endoscopy; hematology, bone marrow biopsy)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Diagnosis of anemia ideally 3–4 wk before surgery	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Diagnosis of anemia although time to surgery is shorter than 3–4 wk	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Anemia clinic; anemia/PBM nurse	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Treatment of anemia	
Administration of intravenous iron	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Administration of vitamin B12 and/or folic acid	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Administration of erythropoietin	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Optimizing cardiovascular and pulmonary function to improve tolerance of anemia	
Increase of oxygen delivery (increase of inspiratory oxygen concentration); decrease of oxygen consumption	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hemodynamic monitoring in high-risk procedures/patients (normovolemia, optimization of cardiac output)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Acute normovolemic hemodilution	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Management of anemia in hospitalized patients and/or after surgery	
Diagnosis of anemia	
Diagnosis of iron deficiency anemia	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Treatment of anemia	
Administration of intravenous iron	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Administration of erythropoietin	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Absence of unnecessary therapies, eg, “top up” RBC transfusion	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

of course, the surgery is of an urgent nature [22,45,63–66]. If the time interval is shorter than 3 to 4 weeks, any preoperative attempt to diagnose and treat anemia is still indicated [48,67,68]. Screening and subsequent laboratory testing should be performed to detect anemia and to allow diagnosis of the common causes of anemia including iron deficiency anemia, anemia of inflammation, or folate or vitamin B12 deficiency. In cases of anemia of unclear etiology, extended diagnostic testing and referral to a specialist should ideally be feasible [63]. It is preferable for screening to be performed at the time of surgical indication. A screening-directed laboratory assessment will reduce workload and costs and, therefore, should be well received by hospital/department managers [22]. In addition, this will mitigate the diagnostic-associated blood loss postsurgery. Noninvasive screening monitoring of hemoglobin may further reduce workload, blood loss, and costs for anemia screening, although further technical developments are needed to optimize precision [69]. An anemia clinic and/or a PBM nurse practitioner with delegated authority to carry out specified clinical procedures are additional ways to comply with the PBM concept. Outpatient preoperative treatment with parenteral iron, vitamin B12, folic acid, and/or erythropoiesis-stimulating agents should be used when clinically indicated.

#### Optimizing Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Function to Improve Tolerance of Anemia

A patient's physiologic tolerance of anemia can be harnessed by optimizing oxygenation, decrease of oxygen consumption, ensuring normovolemia, and optimized hemodynamics (eg, invasive hemodynamic monitoring in high-risk procedures) [70]. Consider intraoperative acute normovolemic hemodilution to reduce allogeneic blood transfusion in patients at high risk for excessive bleeding (eg, major cardiac, orthopedic, thoracic, or liver surgery) [38].

#### Management of Anemia in Hospitalized Patients and/or After Surgery

Comparable to preoperative anemia management, there should also be an algorithm for diagnosis and therapy of anemia in hospitalized patients and/or after surgery, including workup of iron deficiency,

**Table 3**  
Optimization of coagulopathy

Block 3: Second strategy—optimizing coagulopathy	
Preoperative management of coagulopathy	
Algorithm for management of patients with oral/parenteral anticoagulation and/or antiplatelet therapy	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Questionnaire/tests of hemostasis	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hemostasis management in hospitalized patients	
Physiological conditions of hemostasis	
Body temperature > 36°C (normothermia)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
pH > 7.2/ionized Ca <sup>2+</sup> > 1.1 mmol/L	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Point-of-care diagnostic in coagulopathy	
Coagulation system (eg, viscoelastic methods)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Platelet function (eg, aggregometric methods)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Use of a coagulation algorithm for administration of blood products, clotting factor concentrates, tranexamic acid	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Empiric administration of tranexamic acid in certain procedures (particular in cardiac, orthopedic, transplant surgery, massive hemorrhage)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Empiric therapy of platelet dysfunction (eg, desmopressin)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

calculation of iron deficit, and the use of intravenous iron, vitamin B12, folic acid, and/or erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, when indicated [47,48,71,72]. Unnecessary therapies such as “top up” transfusion should be prevented.

#### Block 3: Second Strategy—Optimizing Coagulopathy

##### Preoperative Management of Coagulopathy

Local standard operation procedures (eg, questionnaires/tests of hemostasis, algorithms for bridging in patients with preoperative anticoagulants, and antiplatelet medications) should clearly define preoperative evaluation and management of coagulopathy (either unknown or drug induced) [73,74] (Table 3).

##### Hemostasis Management in Hospitalized Patients

Adequate coagulation management needs to be a precondition before RBC transfusion is considered. In this respect, the use of a coagulation algorithm is recommended [75,76]. In addition, basic conditions for hemostasis (eg, temperature, calcium, pH), reversal of anticoagulants, point-of-care diagnostics in coagulopathic patients, optimized coagulation management with the use of clotting factor concentrates, and the (empiric) use of antifibrinolytic agents or desmopressin are further important considerations [40,60,77,78]. The one-size-fits-all dogma of fresh frozen plasma (FFP) transfusion to correct or prevent coagulopathy needs to be critically questioned due to known risks of allogeneic blood products [40]. Similarly, evaluation of platelet function should be considered first in the setting of surgical and interventional procedures to tailor treatment of coagulopathy, instead of liberal transfusion of numerous platelet concentrates [40].

#### Block 4: Third Strategy—Interdisciplinary Blood Conservation Modalities

##### Reduction of Diagnostic-Associated Blood Loss

A key element of PBM is prevention of blood being unnecessarily removed from the patients, particular by reducing phlebotomy blood loss within daily laboratory analyses (Table 4). This can be achieved in several ways. First, as mentioned above, early preoperative anemia screening is instrumental in reducing the need for phlebotomy when the patient is hospitalized or postsurgery. Second, when sampling blood, phlebotomists should use the smallest collection tube size that is practical for the required analysis. In addition, reducing unnecessary laboratory tests, unnecessary blood culture draws, the frequency of sampling, the “discard” volume when samples are obtained from indwelling lines, and the blood waste by the use of closed in-line flush blood sampling devices for arterial and central venous lines are recommended [51,52,79]. In addition to the medical benefits of this approach, patients will also appreciate fewer painful blood draws.

**Table 4**  
Blood conservation strategy

Block 4: Third strategy—interdisciplinary blood conservation modalities	
Reduction of diagnostic-associated blood loss	
Reduced size of blood collection tubes	
EDTA tube	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Citrate tube	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Lithium-heparin/serum tube	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Type and screen tubes	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Restrictive frequency of blood collection	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Appropriate timing of postoperative blood tests and not daily judicious use/"weekend" plan	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Reduced sampling for blood cultures in daily routine (limit to established indications)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Closed in-line flush devices (arterial pressure transducer systems, central venous blood collection)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Reduction of surgery-related blood loss (subgroup of surgical patients)	
Extreme attention to minimize blood loss (eg, diathermy for tissue dissection), hemostatic adjuncts	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Laparoscopic surgery/minimal invasive techniques/modern surgical instruments	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Limited numbers of swabs for blood absorption/swab washing and cell salvage ("single swab")	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Controlled hypotension (if no contraindication is present)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Autologous blood collection and retransfusion (cell salvage)—intraoperatively and postoperatively	
Nononcological procedures: if expected blood loss >500 mL	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Oncological procedures: if massive blood loss	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Oncological procedures: if expected blood loss >500 mL (radiation of washed blood; filtration using leukocyte depletion filters)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Cardiac surgery	
Small extracorporeal circuits (priming volume <1.2 L; 3/8" lines; minimized extracorporeal circuits)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Extracorporeal circuits (retrograde autologous priming; blood cardioplegia, modified ultrafiltration/hemofiltration)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Bloodless saphenous vein graft removal/immediate wound closure/endoscopic vein removal	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

*Reduction of Surgery-Related Blood Loss (Subgroup of Surgical Patients)*

The surgical use of blood and blood products has decreased significantly in the last 5 years. This can be attributed primarily to surgical technique, role of laparoscopic surgery, and physicians' mindfulness regarding limiting blood loss. Nevertheless, judicious use of diathermy dissection, appropriate suction and cell salvage, and controlled hypotension in bleeding patients as well as application of topical haemostatic agents/tissue adhesives are also important tools to reduce surgery-related blood loss.

The use of intraoperative/postoperative autologous blood collection and retransfusion should be standardized including indications and contraindications [80]. In oncology patients, cell saving might be indicated after radiation or filtration of washed blood, using leukocyte depletion filters or in cases of massive bleeding [81]. In addition, a focus on the number of swabs used for blood absorption and the reuse of washed swabs combined with autologous cell salvage allows reduction of irreversible blood loss. In cardiac surgery, a wide spectrum of blood-sparing techniques have been described in the literature, for example, minimized extracorporeal circuits, retrograde autologous priming, modified ultrafiltration, blood cardioplegia, and meticulous hemostasis in saphenous vein graft removal [82].

*Block 5: Fourth Strategy—Optimal Blood Use With Patient-Centered Decision Making*

*Patient-Centered Decision Making*

A predefined individual PBM plan with transfusion triggers based on the individual patient's risk profile and calculation of tolerable erythrocyte deficit is suggested (Table 5). To optimize utilization of blood products and to identify the ordering physician in case of any audit, it is beneficial to adopt a physician order entry with a clinical decision support based on electronic medical records [14,17]. For the purposes of obtaining

**Table 5**  
Optimal blood use

Block 5: Fourth strategy—optimal blood use with patient-centered decision making	
Patient-centered decision making	
Individual PBM plan with transfusion triggers based on the patient's risk profile/tolerable erythrocyte deficit	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Written patient information form/informed consent for allogeneic blood products (in emergency after transfusion)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Clinician who ordered blood products can be identified (important for feedback and audit)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Single-unit policy (RBC units, platelet concentrate)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Intelligent electronic ordering system for blood products (including patient's lab results, alert function)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Use of dosage for blood components instead of units	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Indication list for each of the following hemotherapy products (eg, pocket card, supply note, poster, etc)	
RBC units	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Platelet concentrate	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
FFP units	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Coagulation factors (prothrombin complex concentrate, fibrinogen, recombinant VIIa, recombinant XIII)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Documentation of the indication for each of the following hemotherapy products (eg, by paper-/electronic-based ordering)	
RBC units	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Platelet concentrate	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
FFP units	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Coagulation factors (prothrombin complex concentrate, fibrinogen, recombinant VIIa, recombinant XIII)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

informed consent from a patient for the transfusion of allogeneic blood products before transfusion (or after it, when urgently needed), handwritten or computer-generated forms (ideally a separate sheet of paper) should be used that comprehensively includes a detailed outline of transfusion benefits, risks, and alternatives. Both using a system that electronically identifies patients to improve the safety and efficiency of the blood transfusion process and appropriate verbal and written information to the patient and/or carer were also highlighted by the recent recommendations from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence on blood transfusion [83].

Policies and procedures for ordering, dispensing, and transfusing blood components need to comply with available national guidelines [83–85]. Indication for transfusion takes into consideration patient specific factors (eg, age, diagnosis, comorbidity), laboratory values (eg, hemoglobin, platelet count, coagulation testing), presence or absence of bleeding, and physiologic factors (eg, oxygenation, hemodynamic status). When RBC transfusion is clinically indicated in the nonbleeding patient, only a single unit of RBC should be prescribed, followed by clinical reassessment of the patient ("single unit policy"; "transfuse and assess strategy") [17,19]. Interestingly, the general terminology for blood components is unit, bags, and others, but the concept of dosage is still not used apart from coagulation factors. There might be significant variations in volume and content of blood component units, as a reflection of donors' characteristics.

Pocket cards, supply notes, posters, apps, and others can spread education regarding the indication of hemotherapy products. In addition, documentation of the indication of the total spectrum of hemotherapy products can be facilitated by either paper- or computer-based ordering system with required checkboxes. When the ordering physician must indicate the reason for application as part of the ordering process, this allows concurrent utilization self-review [14]; importantly, this effective promotion of hemotherapy practices has been shown to be associated with improved clinical patient outcomes [15].

*Block 6: PBM-Related Metrics, Patient's Outcome, Benchmark*

*Patient Blood Management–Related Metrics*

Patient blood management–related metrics and blood usage should be collated itemized for each department to allow identification of potential areas for improvement due to overutilization or underutilization and, if desired, even more specifically down to physician groups and/or

**Table 6**  
Patient Blood Management-related metrics

Block 6: PBM-related metrics/patient's outcome/benchmark	
PBM-related metrics	
Anemia—itemized for each department with percentage of patients	
Preoperative anemia	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital-acquired anemia	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Treated anemic patients (eg, parenteral iron, vitamin B12, folic acid, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Use of blood conservation techniques—itemized for each department with number of units and percentage of patients	
Use of hemostatic agents (tranexamic acid, desmopressin)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Use of cell salvage	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hemotherapy product use—itemized for each department with number of units/dosage and percentage of patients	
Blood products (RBC units, platelets concentrates, FFP units)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Coagulation factors (prothrombin complex concentrate, fibrinogen, recombinant VIIa, XIII)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Transfusion episodes where a single unit of RBCs/platelet issued	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Indications for blood product use—mean pretransfusion levels (hemoglobin, platelet count, INR)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Blood product use that falls outside of hospital or professional transfusion guidelines	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Blood wastage—number of units	
Crossmatch (supply)/transfusion ratio (aim: as low as possible; ratio < 1.7:1)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Discarded blood products (RBC units, platelet concentrates, FFP units)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Report to clinicians/administrative departments about PBM-related metrics (once a year)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Patient's outcome	
Mortality (in-hospital)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Morbidity (eg, ICD-10 codes)	
Infections (sepsis, pneumonia), acute renal failure, acute myocardial infarction, acute ischemic stroke	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Length of stay in hospital/intensive care unit	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hemovigilance (transfusion reactions, transfusion-associated cardiac overload, transfusion-associated acute lung injury)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Benchmarking	
Internal/external benchmarking (eg, for selected surgical procedures)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Membership of a PBM network	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Program budget for PBM	
Initial/ongoing project costs (personnel resources, dissemination); PBM-related cost savings (reduced blood products, laboratory analyses)	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital audit for PBM	
Participation in hospital audit for PBM practice and transfusion decisions in a sample of scheduled cases	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital accreditation for PBM	
Participation in a hospital certification (accreditation) program for PBM	0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/>

Abbreviations: INR, international normalized ratio; ICD-10, *International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision*.

individual clinicians (Table 6). Patient blood management-related metrics include percentage of patients with diagnosis and therapy of anemia and use of blood conservation techniques as well as use of hemotherapy products. Data should also include transfusion episodes where a single unit of RBC/platelet issued, indications for blood product use with mean pretransfusion levels, and blood product use that falls outside of hospital or professional transfusion guidelines, for example, patients receiving RBC transfusion with a pretransfusion Hb of greater than 8 g/dL (5 mmol/L), nonbleeding hematooncology patients receiving a platelet transfusion with a pretransfusion platelet count of greater than 10 000/ $\mu$ L. By this way, a monitoring and feedback system may be useful, which electronically registers each hemotherapy product as well as corresponding laboratory data and reports results to clinicians regularly, as it has recently been implemented at the University Hospital of Zurich [86].

Analysis of the institution's own data about the ratios of both "cross-match to transfused" and "supplied to transfused" blood units, measures to decrease this ratio reflecting overordering of blood compared with actual transfusion, immediate canceling of requested and returning of temporary supplied but unneeded units, and analysis of discarded

blood products allow optimized utilization of the blood products and reduction of unnecessary blood wastage. All PBM-related metrics should be reported to involved clinicians and administrative departments, for examples, once a year.

#### Patient's Outcome

For an effective change process, one needs to first establish what the current PBM practice is. Then one can share the data with physicians, plan and implement any interventions, and continue to audit and track utilization to evaluate the change and monitor success. The impact of PBM on clinical outcomes should be evaluated on a regular basis (eg, once a year). Clinical end points could be monitored by means of the hospital information system, where the relevant diagnoses are encoded. In addition, data should include in-hospital mortality and length of stay at both the intensive care unit and the hospital. In accordance with current regulations, all patient-related data need to be anonymized to allow analysis. Hemovigilance data, including transfusion reactions, transfusion-associated cardiac overload, and transfusion-associated acute lung injury, need to be reported.

#### Benchmarking

As PBM has been identified as a strategy to improve patient outcomes, internal and/or external benchmarking may be one of the most important tools in medical change management and to ensure sustainability [87].

In addition, collaboration within a PBM network project at different levels (eg, local/regional/national/European-wide) would be worthwhile. For example, membership could imply free access to master files, documents, checklists, central data collection, data analysis, benchmarking of PBM-related metrics, and key clinical outcomes for specified procedures.

#### Program Budget for PBM

A detailed program budget needs to cover initial and ongoing project costs for personnel resources, equipment needs, and other project-related resources that support dissemination. A PBM program, however, can result in hospital-wide cost savings, particular due to reduced costs of blood products, blood wastage, laboratory analyses, and reduced expenses associated with adverse events, thereby, successful implementation of PBM may fund the program itself [49].

#### Hospital Audit for PBM

Hospital audit highlights areas of good practice as well as variability in practice and enables hospitals to prioritize implementation of PBM initiatives. In the UK, for example, the auditor collects information on PBM practice and transfusion decisions in a sample of scheduled surgical cases who have received RBC transfusion on a case-based tool [88].

#### Hospital Accreditation for PBM

In future, the quality of PBM programs within the local hospital may be assessed by hospital accreditation. Accreditation may be granted for different PBM-related topics, for example, anemia management, management of coagulopathy, blood conservation, or optimal blood use. In Australia, where the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care has made PBM a national priority, implementation of PBM is 1 of the 10 National safety and quality health service standards [89]. Furthermore, the A<sup>0</sup> and the Joint Commission recently announced a collaborative partnership to provide a joint hospital certification (accreditation) program for PBM to promote patient safety and quality by combining an internationally accepted quality management system structure with appropriate PBM technical requirements [7]. This promoted an increased focus on PBM by hospital executives and provided an opportunity to promote PBM as a key mechanism to improve patient outcomes.



## Conclusion

Despite the demonstrated benefits of PBM, many barriers limit translation of PBM guidelines into clinical practice worldwide, particularly due to lack of knowledge, lack of interdisciplinary commitment, lack of resources, and general concerns. Under the precondition of applied change management principles, an effective PBM program needs to include a comprehensive spectrum of administrative and clinical standards of PBM principles. Strategies for overcoming the hurdles often include the use of multimodal “care bundles” and specific designed measures according to local conditions. Therefore, the PBM “bundles” approach, which incorporates individual, low-threshold stepwise selection of the most feasible measures, depending on local cultural conditions, may serve as a new concept of “how to implement PBM.” It should enable PBM’s patient-centered approach to be delivered in a way that is also hospital centered and, therefore, compatible with each institution. The institution’s initial success should drive further motivation and activities in the field of PBM.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tmr.2016.05.012>.

## Declaration of interests

PM, CFW, and KZ received grants by B. Braun Melsungen, CSL Behring, Fresenius Kabi, and Vifor Pharma for the implementation of Frankfurt’s Patient Blood Management Program in 4 German university hospitals. KZ received grants from B. Braun Melsungen, CSL Behring, Fresenius Kabi, and Vifor Pharma as well as the European Union. PM received honoraria for scientific lectures from B. Braun Melsungen and CSL Behring. UCL and the research program lead by TR have received research funding from a variety of sources including government, charity, and industry sources for research into anemia, blood transfusion, and iron therapy including NIH HTA, NHMRC, Health Foundation, Gideon Richter, Vifor Pharma Ltd, and Pharmocosmos. TR has also been an invited speaker at conferences and provided consultancy to government and industry on anemia, blood transfusion, and iron therapy in the last 5 years. This does not alter our adherence to the journal policies on sharing data and materials. MM has received honoraria for consultancy or lectures and/or travel support from Stryker Ibérica (Spain), Wellspect HealthCare (Sweden), Ferrer Pharma (Spain), Roche (Spain), Vifor Pharma (Spain & Switzerland), PharmaCosmos (Denmark), and Zambon (Spain) but not for this work. CFW received honoraria for scientific lectures from B. Braun Melsungen, Roche AG, and TEM International und Verum\_Diagnostica (all Germany). AH received fees, honoraria, and/or travel support for consulting and lecturing in the last 5 years from Vifor Pharma AG and various affiliated corporations thereof, TEM International GmbH; Johnson & Johnson Ethicon Biosurgery, USA; Australian Red Cross Blood Service, Brisbane, Australia; Austrian Institute of Technology, Vienna, Austria; BBraun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany; BioMed-zet Life Science GmbH, Linz, Austria; CSL Behring Lda, Lisbon, Portugal; CSL Behring GmbH, Marburg, Deutschland; Hospira Ltd, Warwickshire, United Kingdom; Janssen-Cilag, Beerse, Belgium; and Johnson & Johnson Medical Pty Ltd, North Ryde, NSW, Australia. DS’s academic department is receiving grant support from the Swiss National Science Foundation, Berne, Switzerland, the Ministry of Health (Gesundheitsdirektion) of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland for Highly Specialized Medicine, the Swiss Society of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Berne, Switzerland, the Swiss Foundation for Anesthesia Research, Zurich, Switzerland, Bundesprogramm Chancengleichheit, Berne, Switzerland, CSL Behring, Berne, Switzerland, and Vifor SA, Villars-sur-Glâne, Switzerland. DS was the chairman of the ABC Faculty and is the cochairman of the ABC-Trauma Faculty, which both are managed by Physicians World Europe GmbH, Mannheim, Germany, and sponsored by unrestricted educational grants from Novo Nordisk Health Care AG, Zurich, Switzerland, CSL Behring GmbH, Marburg, Germany, and LFB Biomédicaments, Courtaboeuf Cedex, France. In the

past 5 years, DS has received honoraria or travel support for consulting or lecturing from the following companies: Abbott AG, Baar, Switzerland; AMGEN GmbH, Munich, Germany; AstraZeneca AG, Zug, Switzerland; Baxter AG, Volketswil, Switzerland; Baxter S.p.A., Roma, Italy; Bayer (Schweiz) AG, Zürich, Switzerland; Bayer Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany; B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany; Boehringer Ingelheim (Schweiz) GmbH, Basel, Switzerland; Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Rueil-Malmaison Cedex, France, and Baar, Switzerland; CSL Behring GmbH, Hattersheim am Main, Germany, and Berne, Switzerland; Curaclyte AG, Munich, Germany; Daiichi Sankyo (Schweiz) AG, Thalwil, Switzerland; Ethicon Biosurgery, Sommerville, New Jersey, USA; Fresenius SE, Bad Homburg v.d.H., Germany; Galenica AG, Bern, Switzerland (including Vifor SA, Villars-sur-Glâne, Switzerland); GlaxoSmithKline GmbH & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany; Janssen-Cilag AG, Baar, Switzerland; Janssen-Cilag EMEA, Beerse, Belgium; Merck Sharp & Dohme AG, Luzern, Switzerland; Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvård, Denmark; Octapharma AG, Lachen, Switzerland; Organon AG, Pfäffikon/SZ, Switzerland; Oxygen Biotherapeutics, Costa Mesa, CA; PAION Deutschland GmbH, Aachen, Germany; Photonics Healthcare B.V., Utrecht, the Netherlands; ratiopharm Arzneimittel Vertriebs-GmbH, Vienna, Austria; Roche Diagnostics International Ltd, Reinach, Switzerland; Roche Pharma (Schweiz) AG, Reinach, Switzerland; Schering-Plow International, Inc, Kenilworth, New Jersey, USA; Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany; Verum Diagnostica GmbH, Munich, Germany; Vifor Pharma Deutschland GmbH, Munich, Germany; Vifor Pharma Österreich GmbH, Vienna, Austria; Vifor (International) AG, St Gallen, Switzerland.

The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Funding

There was no funding. No pharmaceutical company has either funded or influenced the conception, development, or writing of the article.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof Gavin J Murphy and Dr Tamara Friedman for their editorial contributions.

## References

- [1] Kassebaum NJ, Jasrasaria R, Naghavi M, Wulf SK, Johns N, Lozano R, et al. A systematic analysis of global anemia burden from 1990 to 2010. *Blood* 2014;123:615–24.
- [2] Hayden SJ, Albert TJ, Watkins TR, Swenson ER. Anemia in critical illness: insights into etiology, consequences, and management. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:1049–57.
- [3] Society for the Advancement of Blood Management (SABM). <http://www.sabm.org>. [accessed 06/04/2015].
- [4] Spahn DR, Goodnough LT. Alternatives to blood transfusion. *Lancet* 2013;381:1855–65.
- [5] Spahn DR, Moch H, Hofmann A, Isbister JP. Patient blood management: the pragmatic solution for the problems with blood transfusions. *Anesthesiology* 2008;109:951–3.
- [6] AABB. Standards for a patient blood management program 1st ed.; 2014.
- [7] AABB. <http://www.aabb.org/press/Pages/pr151203a.aspx>. [accessed Dec 20, 2015].
- [8] National Blood Authority Australia. <http://www.nba.gov.au/guidelines/review.html>. [accessed 01/09/2015].
- [9] National Blood Authority Australia. <http://www.blood.gov.au/system/files/documents/pbm-guidelines-implementation-strategy-november.pdf>. [accessed 20/04/2015].
- [10] NHS Blood and Transplant. <http://hospital.blood.co.uk/patient-services/patient-blood-management/>. [accessed Sep 1, 2015].
- [11] Kozek-Langenecker S, Bettelheim P, Giurea A, et al. [http://www.oegari.at/web\\_files/dateiarchiv/editor/interdisciplinary\\_recommendations\\_for\\_the\\_management\\_of\\_anemia\\_2013.pdf](http://www.oegari.at/web_files/dateiarchiv/editor/interdisciplinary_recommendations_for_the_management_of_anemia_2013.pdf). [accessed 06/04/2015].
- [12] Goodnough LT, Levy JH, Murphy MF. Concepts of blood transfusion in adults. *Lancet* 2013;381:1845–54.
- [13] Gross I, Seifert B, Hofmann A, Spahn DR. Patient blood management in cardiac surgery results in fewer transfusions and better outcome. *Transfusion* 2015;55:1075–81.
- [14] Goodnough LT, Shieh L, Hadhazy E, Cheng N, Khari P, Maggio P. Improved blood utilization using real-time clinical decision support. *Transfusion* 2014;54:1358–65.
- [15] Goodnough LT, Maggio P, Hadhazy E, Shieh L, Hernandez-Boussard T, Khari P, et al. Restrictive blood transfusion practices are associated with improved patient outcomes. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2753–9.



- [16] Moskowitz DM, McCullough JN, Shander A, Klein JJ, Bodian CA, Goldweir RS, et al. The impact of blood conservation on outcomes in cardiac surgery: is it safe and effective? *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:451–8.
- [17] Oliver JC, Griffin RL, Hannon T, Marques MB. The success of our patient blood management program depended on an institution-wide change in transfusion practices. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2617–24.
- [18] Theusinger OM, Kind SL, Seifert B, Borgeat L, Gerber C, Spahn DR. Patient blood management in orthopaedic surgery: a four-year follow-up of transfusion requirements and blood loss from 2008 to 2011 at the Balgrist University Hospital in Zurich, Switzerland. *Blood Transfus* 2014;12:195–203.
- [19] Leahy MF, Roberts H, Mukhtar SA, Farmer S, Tovey J, Jewlchow V, et al. A pragmatic approach to embedding patient blood management in a tertiary hospital. *Transfusion* 2014;54:1133–45.
- [20] Roubinian NH, Escobar GJ, Liu V, Swain BE, Gardner MN, Kipnis P, et al. Trends in red blood cell transfusion and 30-day mortality among hospitalized patients. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2678–86.
- [21] Trentino KM, Farmer SL, Swain SG, Burrows SA, Hofmann A, Ienco R, et al. Increased hospital costs associated with red blood cell transfusion. *Transfusion* 2015;55:1082–9.
- [22] Munoz M, Gomez-Ramirez S, Kozek-Langenecker S, Shander A, Richards T, Pavia J, et al. "Fit to fly": overcoming barriers to preoperative haemoglobin optimization in surgical patients. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;115:15–24.
- [23] Fischer DP, Zacharowski KD, Muller MM, Geisen C, Seifried E, Muller H, et al. Patient blood management implementation strategies and their effect on physicians' risk perception, clinical knowledge and perioperative practice—the Frankfurt experience. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2015;42:91–7.
- [24] Vamvakas EC. Reasons for moving toward a patient-centric paradigm of clinical transfusion medicine practice. *Transfusion* 2013;53:888–901.
- [25] Mbanya D. Barriers and enablers to introducing comprehensive patient blood management in the hospital. *Biologicals* 2012;40:205–8.
- [26] Resar R, Griffin F, Haraden C, Nolan T. Using care bundles to improve health care quality. IHI innovation series white paper. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Institute for Healthcare Improvement; 2012.
- [27] Kofke WA. Incrementally applied multifaceted therapeutic bundles in neuroprotection clinical trials...time for change. *Neurocrit Care* 2010;12:438–44.
- [28] Black MD, Vigorito MC, Curtis JR, Phillips GS, Martin EW, McNicoll L, et al. A multifaceted intervention to improve compliance with process measures for ICU clinician communication with ICU patients and families. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:2275–83.
- [29] Levy MM, Rhodes A, Phillips GS, Townsend SR, Schorr CA, Beale R, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: association between performance metrics and outcomes in a 7.5-year study. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43:3–12.
- [30] Bagshaw SM. Acute kidney injury care bundles. *Nephron* 2015;131:247–51.
- [31] Lim WS, Rodrigo C, Turner AM, Welham S, Calvert JM, British Thoracic Society. British Thoracic Society community-acquired pneumonia care bundle: results of a national implementation project. *Thorax* 2016;71:288–90.
- [32] Kotter J. *Leading change*. Boston, Mass.: Harvard Business School Press; 1996
- [33] AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH. <http://www.europe-pbm.eu>. [accessed Jan 10, 2015].
- [34] Farmer SL, Towler SC, Leahy MF, Hofmann A. Drivers for change: Western Australia Patient Blood Management Program (WA PBMP), World Health Assembly (WHA) and Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability (ACBSA). *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2013;27:43–58.
- [35] Kotze A, Carter LA, Scally AJ. Effect of a patient blood management programme on preoperative anaemia, transfusion rate, and outcome after primary hip or knee arthroplasty: a quality improvement cycle. *Br J Anaesth* 2012;108:943–52.
- [36] Gombotz H. Patient blood management is key before elective surgery. *Lancet* 2011;378:1362–3.
- [37] Joint United Kingdom (UK) Blood Transfusion and Tissue Transplantation Services Professional Advisory Committee. <http://www.transfusionguidelines.org.uk/uk-transfusion-committees/national-blood-transfusion-committee/patient-blood-management>. [accessed Apr 6, 2015].
- [38] American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Blood Management. Practice guidelines for perioperative blood management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Blood Management\*. *Anesthesiology* 2015;122:241–75.
- [39] Government of Western Australia Department of Health. <http://www.health.wa.gov.au/bloodmanagement/home/>. [accessed 20/02/2015 2015].
- [40] Kozek-Langenecker SA, Afshari A, Albaladejo P, Santullano CA, De Robertis E, Filipescu DC, et al. Management of severe perioperative bleeding: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2013;30:270–382.
- [41] Leal-Naval SR, Munoz M, Asuero M, Contreras E, Garcia-Erce JA, Llaui JV, et al. Spanish consensus statement on alternatives to allogeneic blood transfusion: the 2013 update of the "Seville document". *Blood Transfus* 2013;11:585–610.
- [42] Hunt BJ, Allard S, Keeling D, Norfolk D, Stanworth SJ, Pendry K, et al. A practical guideline for the haematological management of major haemorrhage. *Br J Haematol* 2015.
- [43] Eddy DM, Adler J, Patterson B, Lucas D, Smith KA, Morris M. Individualized guidelines: the potential for increasing quality and reducing costs. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;154:627–34.
- [44] Hofmann A, Farmer S, Towler SC. Strategies to preempt and reduce the use of blood products: an Australian perspective. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2012;25:66–73.
- [45] Theusinger OM, Leyvraz PF, Schanz U, Seifert B, Spahn DR. Treatment of iron deficiency anemia in orthopedic surgery with intravenous iron: efficacy and limits: a prospective study. *Anesthesiology* 2007;107:923–7.
- [46] Shander A, Van Aken H, Colomina MJ, Gombotz H, Hofmann A, Krauspe R, et al. Patient blood management in Europe. *Br J Anaesth* 2012;109:55–68.
- [47] Munoz M, Gomez-Ramirez S, Martin-Montanez E, Naveira E, Seara J, Pavia J. Cost of post-operative intravenous iron therapy in total lower limb arthroplasty: a retrospective, matched cohort study. *Blood Transfus* 2014;12:40–9.
- [48] Munoz M, Gomez-Ramirez S, Cuenca J, Garcia-Erce JA, Iglesias-Aparicio D, Haman-Alcober S, et al. Very-short-term perioperative intravenous iron administration and postoperative outcome in major orthopedic surgery: a pooled analysis of observational data from 2547 patients. *Transfusion* 2014;54:289–99.
- [49] Shander A, Hofmann A, Ozawa S, Theusinger OM, Gombotz H, Spahn DR. Activity-based costs of blood transfusions in surgical patients at four hospitals. *Transfusion* 2010;50:753–65.
- [50] Meybohm P, Fischer D, Geisen C, Mueller M, Weber CF, Herrmann E, et al. Safety and effectiveness of a patient blood management (PBM) program in surgical patients—the study design for a multi-centre prospective epidemiological non-inferiority trial. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:576.
- [51] Koch CG, Reineks EZ, Tang AS, Hixson ED, Phillips S, Sabik JF, 3rd, et al. Contemporary bloodletting in cardiac surgical care. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;99:779–84.
- [52] Ranasinghe T, Freeman WD. "ICU vampirism"—time for judicious blood draws in critically ill patients. *Br J Haematol* 2014;164:302–3.
- [53] Meybohm P, Fischer D, Mueller M, Seifried E, Geisen C, Zacharowski K. <http://www.patientbloodmanagement.eu>; 2015. [accessed Oct 30, 2015].
- [54] Kasraian L, Tavassoli A. A survey of resident physicians' knowledge concerning transfusion medicine in Shiraz, Iran. *Asian J Transfus Sci* 2014;8:118–20.
- [55] Haspel R, Lin Y, Mallick R, Timmouth A, Cid J, Eichler H, et al. Internal medicine resident knowledge of transfusion medicine: results from the BEST-TEST international education needs assessment. *Transfusion* 2015 [Epub ahead of print 2014 Dec 19].
- [56] Meybohm P, Regaei A, Mueller M, Seifried E, Geisen C, Fischer D, et al. <http://www.patientbloodmanager.de>. [accessed Dec 22, 2015].
- [57] National Service Scotland. <http://www.learnbloodtransfusion.org.uk>. [accessed 04/09/2015 2015].
- [58] BloodSafe eLearning Australia. <https://www.bloodsafelearning.org.au>. [accessed 01/10/2015 2015].
- [59] Friedman BA, Oberman HA, Chadwick AR, Kingdon KI. The maximum surgical blood order schedule and surgical blood use in the United States. *Transfusion* 1976;16:380–7.
- [60] Kozek-Langenecker SA. Coagulation and transfusion in the postoperative bleeding patient. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2014;20:460–6.
- [61] Spahn DR, Bouillon B, Cerny V, Coats TJ, Duranseau J, Fernandez-Mondejar E, et al. Management of bleeding and coagulopathy following major trauma: an updated European guideline. *Crit Care* 2013;17:R76.
- [62] Rossaint R, Bouillon B, Cerny V, Coats TJ, Duranseau J, Fernandez-Mondejar E, et al. The STOP the bleeding campaign. *Crit Care* 2013;17:136.
- [63] Goodnough LT, Maniatis A, Earnshaw P, Benoni G, Beris P, Bisbe E, et al. Detection, evaluation, and management of preoperative anaemia in the elective orthopaedic surgical patient: NATA guidelines. *Br J Anaesth* 2011;106:13–22.
- [64] Shander A. Preoperative anemia and its management. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2014;50:13–5.
- [65] Spivak JL, Gascon P, Ludwig H. Anemia management in oncology and hematology. *Oncologist* 2009;14 Suppl. 1:43–56.
- [66] Basora M, Colomina MJ, Tio M, Mora L, Salazar F, Ciercoles E. Optimizing preoperative haemoglobin with intravenous iron. *Br J Anaesth* 2013;110:488–90.
- [67] Na HS, Shin SY, Hwang JY, Jeon YT, Kim CS, Do SH. Effects of intravenous iron combined with low-dose recombinant human erythropoietin on transfusion requirements in iron-deficient patients undergoing bilateral total knee replacement arthroplasty. *Transfusion* 2011;51:118–24.
- [68] Weltert L, Rondinelli B, Bello R, Falco M, Bellisario A, Maselli D, et al. A single dose of erythropoietin reduces perioperative transfusions in cardiac surgery: results of a prospective single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Transfusion* 2015;55:1644–54.
- [69] Hiscock R, Simmons S, Carstensen B, Gurrin L. Comparison of Massimo Pronto-7 and HemoCue Hb 201 + with laboratory haemoglobin estimation: a clinical study. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2014;42:608–13.
- [70] Habler O, Meier J, Pape A, Kertscho H, Zwissler B. Tolerance to perioperative anemia. Mechanisms, influencing factors and limits. *Anaesthesist* 2006;55:1142–56.
- [71] Bisbe E, Molto L, Arroyo R, Muniesa JM, Tejero M. Randomized trial comparing ferric carboxymaltose vs oral ferrous glycine sulphate for postoperative anaemia after total knee arthroplasty. *Br J Anaesth* 2014;113(3):402–9.
- [72] Johansson PI, Rasmussen AS, Thomsen LL. Intravenous iron isomaltoside 1000 (Monofer) reduces postoperative anaemia in preoperatively non-anaemic patients undergoing elective or subacute coronary artery bypass graft, valve replacement or a combination thereof: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial (the PROTECT trial). *Vox Sang* 2015;109(3):257–66.
- [73] Koscielny J, Ziemer S, Radtke H, Schmutzler M, Pruss A, Simha P, et al. A practical concept for preoperative identification of patients with impaired primary hemostasis. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2004;10:195–204.
- [74] Patel JP, Arya R. The current status of bridging anticoagulation. *Br J Haematol* 2014;164:619–29.
- [75] Weber CF, Gorringer K, Meininger D, et al. Point-of-care testing: a prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy in coagulopathic cardiac surgery patients. *Anesthesiology* 2012;117:531–47.
- [76] Weber CF, Zacharowski K, Meybohm P, Adam EH, Hofer S, Brun K, et al. Hemotherapy algorithms for coagulopathic cardiac surgery patients. *Clin Lab* 2014;60:1059–63.
- [77] Meybohm P, Zacharowski K, CF W. Point-of-care coagulation management in intensive care medicine. *Crit Care* 2013;17:218.
- [78] Weber CF, Klages M, Zacharowski K. Perioperative coagulation management during cardiac surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2013;26:60–4.

- [79] Fischer DP, Zacharowski KD, Meybohm P. Savoring every drop—vampire or mosquito? *Crit Care* 2014;18:306.
- [80] Carless PA, Henry DA, Moxey AJ, O'Connell D, Brown T, Fergusson DA. Cell salvage for minimising perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;CDe001888.
- [81] Kumar N, Chen Y, Zaw AS, Nayak D, Ahmed Q, Soong R, et al. Use of intraoperative cell-salvage for autologous blood transfusions in metastatic spine tumour surgery: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:e33–41.
- [82] Varghese R, Myers ML. Blood conservation in cardiac surgery: let's get restrictive. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;22:121–6.
- [83] Padhi S, Kemmis-Betty S, Rajesh S, Hill J, Murphy MF, Guideline Development G. Blood transfusion: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ* 2015;351:h5832.
- [84] German Medical Association (BÄK). [http://www.bundesaeztekammer.de/fileadmin/user\\_upload/downloads/Querschnittsleitlinie\\_Gesamtdokument-englisch\\_07032011.pdf](http://www.bundesaeztekammer.de/fileadmin/user_upload/downloads/Querschnittsleitlinie_Gesamtdokument-englisch_07032011.pdf). [accessed Oct 30, 2015].
- [85] Carson JL, Grossman BJ, Kleinman S, Tinmouth AT, Marques MB, Fung MK, et al. Red blood cell transfusion: a clinical practice guideline from the AABB\*. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;157:49–58.
- [86] Mehra T, Seifert B, Bravo-Reiter S, Wanner G, Dutkowski P, Holubec T, et al. Implementation of a patient blood management monitoring and feedback program significantly reduces transfusions and costs. *Transfusion* 2015;55:2807–15.
- [87] Compton J, Robinson M, O'Hara C. Benchmarking critical pathways—a method for achieving best practice. *Aust Health Rev* 1995;18:101–12.
- [88] <http://hospital.blood.co.uk/audits/national-comparative-audit/>. [accessed 01/07/2015].
- [89] Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC). <http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/accreditation-and-the-nsqhs-standards/resources-to-implement-the-nsqhs-standards/#NSQHS-Standards>. [accessed 20/09/2015].